

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,667	01/19/2000	Stephen Donovan	D-2875	6119
7	7590 12/12/2001			
Frank J Uxa			EXAMINER	
4 Venture Suite 300 Irvine, CA 92618			KAM, CHIH MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	tar
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2001	()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/489,667	DONOVAN, STEPHEN			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chih-Min Kam	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ears n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2001 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•				
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\underline{\hspace{0.5cm}}$ is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. 🔄 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to the claims does not resolve the current issue and er 35 USC 112 first and second paragraphs. The amendment and response of 21 September 2001 also raise additional issues. Claims 79 and 80 have been amended to include a Hc of the botulinum toxin having been modified, which needs to be further searched and re-examined. Applicants' response has been fully considered, however, claims 67 and 68 remain rejected under 35 USC 112 first and second paragraphs, and the rebuttal is shown as follows:

The rebuttal to the applicants' response:

Claims 67 and 68 encompass an agent for treating pain comprising a clostridial neurotoxin component covalently attached to a precursor or an analog of substance P. Applicants argue that the precursors and analogs of substance P can similarly be attached to a clostridial neurotoxin component to reduce pain (page 5, fifth paragraph), it is not necessary to disclose an example of every species covered by a claim (page 4, fourth paragraph). Applicants further assert that U.S. Patent 5,891,842 has disclosed one precursor of substance P (substance P-G) is fully active (page 8, second paragraph), and the unpredictability in the art regarding precursors or analogs of substance P should be provided (page 7, third paragraph). The argument is found not persuasive because the specification indicates the target moieties are "components that are substantially similar to the transmission compounds", and further define the term as "molecules or substances that have the same function as that of the transmission compounds", for example, glutamate agonists, (page 24, lines 17-30), thus, the target moiety in the instant application is substance P or an agonist of substance P, which would have the same function as substance P. However, this is not the case for analogs or precursors of substance P since most substance P compounds are antagonists of substance P, for example, Folkers et al. (U. S. Patent 4,481,139) indicate substance P analogs are potent antagonists (columns 5-7; Table IV); Coy et al. (U. S. Patent 5,410,019) indicate linear peptide analogs of substance P with modification in the peptide bond and amino acid residues can inhibit substance P-stimulated amylase release, but one analog has an agonist activity (columns 13 and 14; Table 3). The precursors and analogs of substance P can similarly be attached to a clostridial neurotoxin component as indicated in the specification, however, the agent containing the precursors and analogs might not have the same effect as substance P. The analog shown in U.S. Patent 5,891,842 is one example which has the same function as substance P, however, analogs or precursors with different sequences do not appear to work in the same manner as substance P as indicated in the examples above. Therefore, it is necessary to carry out further experimentation on the analogs or precursors of substance P as the target moiety to assess the effect of the agent containing the analogs or precursors in treating pain since the analogs or precursors with various structures might not have the same function as substance P. The Folkers and Coy et al. patents are provided here solely in rebuttal of applicants' comments in the response.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (703) 308-9437. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, Ph. D. can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CMK December 10, 2001

Christopher S. F. LOW
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600